2013 Oil Sands Bird Monitoring Plan

Colleen Cassady St. Clair, Sarina Loots, Cindy McCallum, and Rob Ronconi

With tablet and birding support by Donnette Thayer and Neil Foley

Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta

Outline for Today

Background

- Colleen
- Historical Context
- Purpose
- Objectives

Collecting the Data

- Sarina
- Using the protocol
- Using tablets
- Other monitoring equipment

Identifying Birds

- Neil
- Foraging behaviour
- Habitat associations
- ID tips

Managing the Data

- Cindy
- Questions and support
- Troubleshooting
- QA / QC

30 min

60 min

60 min

Later

The OS BMP is a collaborative venture

World Class Monitoring



Thanks for joining us!

CNRL	Imperial
Joanne Hogg	Rachel Noble-Pattinson
Calvin Duane	Justin Krisko
Sarah Robertson	Harold Funk
Ken Foster	Jim Czirfusz
Chris Godwin	Simon Hall
Liz Lade	Kelly Giroux
Gabrielle Coulombe	Dean Starblanket
Lucie Parker	Ian Buchwald
Priscilla Lai	Rezeena Khan
Jillian Johnston	Kayla Willis
	Serafina Dalla-longa
	Craig Ibbotson
	Olga Palomino
	Eden Harris
	Abdi Nur
Shell	Syncrude
Paul Knaga	Courtney Drover
Chelsie Hoff	Jamie Sullivan
Laura Beaudoin	Kyle Lawson
Felicia Juelfs	Liz Blum
	Taro Iwuru
	Joanne Hogg Calvin Duane Sarah Robertson Ken Foster Chris Godwin Liz Lade Gabrielle Coulombe Lucie Parker Priscilla Lai Jillian Johnston Shell Paul Knaga Chelsie Hoff Laura Beaudoin



Just 150 km north of Ft. McKay: The Peace-Athabasca Delta, an internationally-important bird area

...that stages over a million birds annually



From all four waterfowl flyways

Waterfowl

- Central Flyway
- Mississippi Flyway
- Pacific Flyway
- Atlantic Flyway



There are 54 process-affected tailings ponds; over 20 are larger than 0.1 ha and may contain residual bitumen

Tailings Ponds can be dangerous for waterbirds...









... especially in the early spring when natural water bodies are still frozen



Severe weather can force birds to land; late fall migrants may be especially vulnerable

Deterrence systems are installed to protect birds



Some recent history



500 ducks suffer a crude death in Alberta

DAWN WALTON

CALGARY— From Wednesday's Globe and Mail Published Wednesday, Apr. 30, 2008 10:36PM EDT Last updated Monday, Mar. 30, 2009 3:33PM EDT



Just five mallard ducks have been rescued from an oily tailings pond, while up to 500 birds have sunk to their deaths in the toxic byproduct of Syncrude Canada Ltd.'s oil-sands operation in northern Alberta.

An estimated 400 to 500 ducks landed on the hydrocarbon contaminated lake, which is usually surrounded by noise-making cannons to deter migrating waterfowl, but a late winter storm that dumped 50 centimetres of snow in the area delayed deployment of the devices this spring, the company said.

"It's definitely unusual circumstances, but they're really sad circumstances and we want to do everything we can to help ensure it doesn't happen again," Syncrude spokesman Alain Moore said Wednesday.



Energy & Resources Marketing Law Property Report

Home » Business » Industry News » Energy & Resources



Syncrude to pay \$3M for duck deaths

IOSH WINGROVE

19

Edmonton- Globe and Mail Update Published Friday, Oct. 22, 2010 1:35PM EDT Last updated Wednesday, Dec. 15, 2010 4:57AM EST

104 comments



f Recommend

Print

А

Mag

An Alberta judge has accepted a bargain struck by prosecutors and oil sands producer Syncrude Canada Ltd. that will see the company pay a \$3-million fine - the largest environmental penalty in Alberta history after being found guilty of the deaths of 1,606 birds on its tailings ponds two years ago.



Home » News » National » Prairies



Toxic Syncrude tailings pond kills hundreds more ducks

PATRICK WHITE

129

From Wednesday's Globe and Mail Published Tuesday, Oct. 26, 2010 2:42PM EDT Last updated Monday, Nov. 29, 2010 6:37PM EST

468 comments



f Recommend

Print/License



Hundreds of ducks are dead after landing in a toxic Syncrude tailings pond on Monday, igniting yet another public-relations disaster for a company and an industry that was slapped with the largest environmental penalty in Alberta court history just three days ago. We're going to solve these issues in environment through technology... The markets will demand energy... Nobody's going to tell Asia, China, India, 'Sorry, this is the end of energy supply, you will have to live without new sources of oil'... It just won't happen!



Alberta Premiere Ed Stelmach after 2nd landing

Common public questions

- 1. How many birds land?
- 2. How many die?
- 3. Is this inevitable?
- 4. Why don't birds see the bitumen and avoid it?
- 5. Do the deterrents work?
- 6. Are there better ways to protect birds?

Why am I involved?





Darrell Martindale, Shell; Joel Ingram, Environment Canada, John Gulley, Golder Associates, Dave Fairless, Alberta Environment

RAPP's Court Orders



Review the literature



Support standardized monitoring program



Conduct field experiments



Recommend best practices

Can mass landings identify best practices?

Event	Mining Context	Deterrent Context
2010	Variable mining context, residual bitumen present	Deterrents placed, but variable in density / coverage
2008	Active mining at site of landing	Deterrents not yet placed
1979	Active mining at site of landing	Unknown

2010 had multiple landings sites; 6 ponds with dead birds and 15 without among 21 'dangerous' ponds

Ponds with many dead birds in 2010: Best predicted by unprotected shoreline and its interaction with distance to river



Locations of landings within ponds

- Within 200, usually 90, m of shore
- On downwind sides
- Near anthropogenic lights









Anthropogenic light

- Attracts most vertebrates
- Appears to interfere with UV cones and magnetic navigation
- Appears to disorient and trap nocturnal migrants



Directional orientation of birds is compromised by yellow and red light, which all white light contains Wiltschko et al. 2010







It was a dark and stormy night.



Working hypotheses









Mass landings have happened (too) rarely

Event	Mining Context	Deterrent Context
2010	Variable mining context, residual bitumen present	Deterrents placed, but variable in density / coverage
2008	Active mining at site of landing	Deterrents not yet placed
1979	Active mining at site of landing	Unknown

And many factors are potentially involved

Better monitoring of occasional landings is needed to identify risk factors, evaluate efficacy of deterrent systems and, ultimately, to support best practice

Monitoring Plan Objectives

- 1. Provide an estimate of bird contacts and mortalities on ponds containing process-affected waters;
- 2. Provide an estimate of bird contacts on ponds containing fresh water;
- 3. Develop a standardized monitoring program for all oil sands mine operations to provide comparable data across ponds, sites, seasons, and years;
- 4. Identify species at risk that have been affected through contact on ponds containing process-affected waters, and
- 5. Provide direction on adaptive management for long-term monitoring and bird deterrent programs.

Monitoring Protocol Components





Bird Surveys

Mortality Searches



Incidental observations

Visits by U of A observers





- Experience with protocol on site
- Separate observer from site effects
- Share knowledge
- Build effective, collaborative program



What have we learned so far?

- Many thousand birds land; well over 100 species
- Very few (< 100) appear to die
- Several sensitive / threatened species land
- There's a LOT of variation among sites
- 2012 Report available soon

Monitoring Acknowledgements

Participation by the University of Alberta in the development, implementation, and reporting of the Regional Bird Monitoring Program for the Oil Sands Region was supported by Alberta Justice via a court-ordered research project emanating from *R. vs. Syncrude* in 2010. We are grateful for guidance and support from Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development, particularly by Michael Aiton, Randall Barrett, Sarah McLean, Tanya Richens, and Joann Skilnick. Numerous employees of oil sands companies and their contractors were instrumental to the success of the program, especially

Chelsie Hoff and Paul Knaga (Shell), Laura Beaudoin (Hatfield Consultants – Shell contractor), Rachel Noble-Pattinson (Imperial), Dean Starblanket and Kelly Giroux (SGS – Imperial contractor), Steve Gaudet and Jamie Sullivan (Syncrude), Courtney Drover (Terracon – Syncrude contractor), Joanne Hogg, Sarah Robertson and Calvin Duane (CNRL), Gabrielle Coloumbe and Priscilla Lai (Owl Moon – CNRL contractor), Christine Pelchat and Josh Martin (Suncor). Our work in 2012 built on earlier efforts by several individuals, but especially Rob Ronconi (Dalhousie University), Jeff Ball, and Thomas Habib (University of Alberta). Finally, we are – grateful to the 2012 U of A field crew which included Carissa Wasyliw, Allison Dunlop, James Koether, Nicole Woodman, Fauve Blanchard, and Amanda Brown.

2012 Protocol

2013 Protocol

Record data either using tablets or paper data forms.	Submit data only via tablets or web-based forms; use paper data forms only on an interim or emergency basis.
Conduct mortality searches twice weekly at each process- affected pond.	Conduct mortality searches at each process-affected pond once every 2 weeks. Plan a survey route and record the route that was completed.
Identify all birds with equal effort.	Identify birds initially by foraging mode, apply more effort to identifying target birds that dabble, dive or wade, and strive to identify all species with a risk designation.
Report birds heard during the pond inventories.	Do not report birds that are only heard as part of the bird surveys. Report species at risk that are heard in any location as an incidental observation.
Report all flyovers observed during bird surveys.	Report only those flyovers that occur within 100 m immediately above survey stations.